



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

which, as before, is incident at an angle of 18° on the plate. In this position, the light falling perpendicularly on the narrow face, passes through it unbroken, and being within the glass reflected from the rulings, the coloured pictures of all the twelve systems are now exhibited in the microscope. If the colours which these systems now show, and which have been formed *in the glass*, be compared with the former colours *in the air*, the system F, which is deep red, harmonizes with the system A in the air spectrum, and the systems G, H, I, K, L and M in the glass spectrum, according to their order and colour, correspond to the systems B, C, D, E, F and G in the air spectrum; and the author considers that the comparison of the foregoing values in the systems—

| | |
|----------|----------|
| A and F, | E and K, |
| B and G, | F and L, |
| C and H, | G and M |
| D and I, | |

shows that the lengths of undulation for the same colour in the air and in the glass are in the ratio 1.53 to 1, which is exactly the index of refraction of this glass. He further remarks, that, as the same period of undulation belongs to the same colour, the velocities of propagation in air and in the glass must be in the ratio of the mean value of the distances of the lines in the first seven systems, A, B, C, D, E, F, G, to the mean value of the distances of the lines in the last seven systems, F, G, H, I, K, L, M, or as 1.53 to 1; and that both results agree perfectly with the deductions from the wave-theory of light. In conclusion, the author points out the extraordinary degree of accuracy required in drawing these lines. It is stated that if inequalities amounting only to .000002 line occur in the systems F, G, H, I, K, L, M, stripes of another colour will appear in them; and if the distance of the lines in M be diminished by that quantity, all colour disappears.

The following communication was also read:—

Extract of a letter from Professor Kämtz to Lieut. Colonel Sabine, on "Corrections of the Constants in the general theory of Terrestrial Magnetism." Received April 3, 1851.

Translation.

Dorpat, $\frac{4}{18}$ January 1851.

From the active zeal with which you pursue the phenomena of terrestrial magnetism, and collect all the facts which can conduce to the elucidation of this difficult subject, I think that some researches with which I have occupied myself will not be wholly uninteresting to you; and I therefore address you the following lines, which I have also permitted myself to write in my own language.

Some years ago I employed myself in endeavouring to correct the constants which Gauss has given for the earth's magnetism. The process I adopted was by considering the horizontal and vertical components separately; but when I learned that Erman had the

same work in hand, I left mine unfinished. I did not then possess the Reports of the British Association, as it was not until this last summer (1850) that they were obtained here, and when I had seen Erman's results, I at once decided on taking up my work afresh. I have made use of all the data I could procure, and have thus been able to determine the component Z at above 1400 places, including a series of observations which I had myself made from 1847 to 1849 in Liefland, Esthonia, Finland, Norway, and on the route from Archangel to Petersburg. I have as far as possible reduced all determinations to the epoch of 1830. A calculation of the several observations by the method of least squares would have required an entire life; I therefore preferred following the same path as Gauss; in doing this, however, I soon discovered that the 5th order could not be neglected; and I then obtained the following values:—

$$\begin{array}{l}
 g^{1,0} = 927.9 \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} g^{1,1} = 89.8 \\ g^{2,0} = -6.4 \\ g^{3,0} = -51.8 \\ g^{4,0} = -83.2 \\ g^{5,0} = 14.3 \end{array} \right. \left| \begin{array}{l} g^{1,1} = 89.8 \\ g^{2,1} = -140.6 \\ g^{3,1} = 112.3 \\ g^{4,1} = -103.2 \\ g^{5,1} = -115.1 \end{array} \right. \left| \begin{array}{l} h^{1,1} = -163.7 \\ h^{2,1} = -14.1 \\ h^{3,1} = 48.5 \\ h^{4,1} = -18.2 \\ h^{5,1} = 72.8 \end{array} \right. \left| \begin{array}{l} g^{2,2} = 2.5 \\ g^{3,2} = -86.9 \\ g^{4,2} = -41.3 \\ g^{5,2} = -96.5 \end{array} \right. \left| \begin{array}{l} h^{2,2} = -37.3 \\ h^{3,2} = -17.2 \\ h^{4,2} = 43.4 \\ h^{5,2} = -10.0 \end{array} \right. \\
 \\
 g^{3,3} = -4.4 \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} h^{3,3} = -25.1 \\ g^{4,3} = 18.8 \\ g^{5,3} = 3.3 \end{array} \right. \left| \begin{array}{l} h^{3,3} = -25.1 \\ h^{4,3} = 18.6 \\ h^{5,3} = -1.6 \end{array} \right. \left| \begin{array}{l} g^{4,4} = 3.9 \\ g^{5,4} = .0 \\ g^{5,5} = .0 \end{array} \right. \left| \begin{array}{l} h^{4,4} = 4.3 \\ h^{5,4} = 2.8 \\ h^{5,5} = .0 \end{array} \right.
 \end{array}$$

A comparison will show you that these quantities agree much better with Gauss's than Erman's do; and this is also true in respect to the agreement with the observations, especially in the high south latitudes. Thus there was found—

| Latitude. | Longitude. | Inclination. | Force. |
|--------------|------------|--------------|--------|
| -69° 54' | 179° 55' | -84° 30' | 1999 |
| -69 52 | 180 04 | -83 34 | 1994 |
| Means -69 53 | 180 0 | -84 02 | 1996.5 |

$Z = -1985.8$ for -70° and 180° ; Gauss found -2193.5 ; Erman -1781.1 ; my calculation gives -2009.3 . My constants also still require a small correction. I do not however mean to examine this at present, but propose first to consider the horizontal component, in order to satisfy myself previously whether both components depend upon the same constants or not. The probable error of a single determination is nearly 19; and to show the degree of agreement, I subjoin the following table. As in forming it I merely took from my large table every 10th observation in the order of succession, you will not be surprised at finding unimportant places, whilst others of greater note in their vicinity are omitted: it may suffice however for the present purpose. The quantities given are the differences between the observed and calculated vertical intensity.

| Stations. | Lat. N. | Long. E. | Δ Z. | Observers. |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Fairhaven, Spitzbergen | 79 40 | 11 40 | - 24'1 | Sabine. |
| 11. Tromsøe..... | 69 39 | 18 55 | + 31'2 | Keilhau. |
| 21. Tukansk. Isl..... | 68 4 | 39 35 | - 39'1 | Reinicke. |
| 31. Grundsät | 60 56 | 11 35 | + 39'8 | Hansteen. |
| 41. Sundsvall | 62 22 | 17 16 | + 2'5 | Hansteen. |
| 51. Abo | 60 27 | 22 18 | - 15'7 | Hansteen. |
| 61. Danzig | 54 21 | 18 38 | + 31'0 | Ericksen. |
| 71. Doskino | 56 9 | 43 34 | - 32'8 | Erman and Hansteen. |
| 81. Perm | 58 1 | 56 14 | - 30'0 | Erman and Hansteen. |
| 91. Tiumen | 57 10 | 65 27 | - 13'4 | Erman and Hansteen. |
| 101. Wandiasak | 66 16 | 65 10 | - 27'7 | Erman. |
| 111. Tschuluim | 55 6 | 81 14 | - 24'6 | Erman. |
| 121. Botowsk..... | 55 10 | 105 22 | - 3'7 | Erman. |
| 131. Monachanowa | 50 58 | 106 29 | + 6'8 | Hansteen. |
| 141. Nowaja River | 72 7 | 95 25 | + 22'3 | Middendorf. |
| 151. Progromnoi | 52 30 | 111 3 | + 11'8 | Fuss. |
| 161. Nalaicha..... | 47 47 | 107 18 | + 9'8 | Fuss. |
| 171. Chapchaktu | 46 2 | 108 35 | - 13'0 | Fuss. |
| 181. Zackildack..... | 42 48 | 114 17 | + 13'5 | Fuss. |
| 191. Gaschun..... | 44 23 | 111 19 | - 9'8 | Fuss. |
| 201. Arki | 60 6 | 142 20 | + 1'2 | Erman. |
| 211. Sitka | 57 3 | 224 34 | - 2'2 | Lütke, Erman, Belcher. |
| 221. F. Dunvegan | 55 56 | 241 26 | + 22'7 | Lefroy. |
| 231. Frog Portage | 55 28 | 256 30 | + 9'1 | Lefroy. |
| 241. York Factory..... | 57 0 | 267 34 | + 4'9 | Lefroy. |
| 251. Fort Alexander | 50 37 | 263 39 | + 13'4 | Lefroy. |
| 261. Devil's Drum Island ... | 53 19 | 259 20 | - 22'7 | Lefroy. |
| 271. Cape Disappointment... | 46 16 | 236 4 | - 34'2 | Douglas. |
| 281. Lac à la Pluie | 48 32 | 267 4 | + 19'9 | Lefroy. |
| 291. Fort à la Cloche | 46 7 | 277 35 | - 19'7 | Lefroy. |
| 301. Portage Ecarté | 48 25 | 270 15 | + 4'8 | Lefroy. |
| 311. Chat Falls | 45 26 | 283 28 | + 22'8 | Lefroy. |
| 321. Pointe aux Chênes ... | 45 37 | 285 5 | + 7'7 | Lefroy. |
| 331. Lake Nipissing | 46 13 | 280 1 | + 16'7 | Lefroy. |
| 341. Waterville | 44 33 | 293 23 | + 11'9 | Keely. |
| 351. Dubuque's Town | 42 29 | 269 37 | + 20'8 | Locke. |
| 361. St. Mary's | 40 32 | 275 41 | + 31'9 | Locke. |
| 371. Detroit | 42 25 | 277 0 | + 24'0 | Loomis, Younghusband, Locke, |
| 381. Alleghany Summit ... | 40 27 | 281 50 | + 29'3 | Locke. [Lefroy. |
| 391. Utica | 43 7 | 284 47 | + 27'2 | Loomis, Locke. |
| 401. Portland..... | 43 41 | 289 40 | + 14'5 | Locke. |
| 411. St. Louis | 38 38 | 269 56 | + 35'8 | Locke, Loomis, Nicollet. |
| 421. Paoli | 38 5 | 273 35 | + 30'7 | Locke. |
| 431. Columbus | 39 57 | 276 57 | + 11'9 | Locke. |
| 441. Lerwick | 60 9 | 358 53 | + 46'8 | Ross. |
| 451. Loch Slapin | 57 14 | 353 58 | + 30'4 | Sabine. |
| 461. Braemar..... | 57 1 | 356 35 | + 16'2 | Sabine. |
| Edinburgh | | | + 8'8 | |
| 471. Valencia..... | 51 56 | 349 43 | + 8'5 | Sabine, Ross. |
| 481. Enniskillen | 54 21 | 352 22 | + 25'6 | Lloyd. |
| 491. York | 53 58 | 358 54 | + 22'5 | Phillips, Ross. |
| 501. Calderstone | 53 23 | 357 7 | + 17'7 | Phillips. |
| 511. Castleton | 54 4 | 355 20 | + 22'7 | Phillips. |
| Dublin | | | + 15'2 | All Observers. |
| 521. Fermoy | 52 7 | 351 44 | + 13'5 | Sabine. |
| 531. Clifton | 51 27 | 357 25 | + 16'4 | Lloyd, Ross. |

| Stations. | Lat. N. | Long. E. | Δ Z. | Observers. |
|---|-----------|----------|-------------|--|
| 541. London | 51° 31' | 0° 53' | + 21'9 | All Observers. |
| 551. Salisbury | 51° 4' | 358° 12' | + 18'3 | Lloyd, Ross. |
| 561. Dover | 51° 8' | 1° 19' | + 19'7 | Sabine. |
| 571. Fontainebleau | 48° 24' | 2° 38' | + 11'0 | Fox. |
| 581. Nimes | 43° 50' | 4° 20' | - 3'8 | Fox, Humboldt. |
| 591. Malaga | 36° 44' | 355° 36' | - 13'4 | Norwegian Officers. |
| 601. Prague | 50° 5' | 14° 27' | + 15'5 | Keilhau, Kreil. |
| 611. Berne | 46° 57' | 7° 25' | + 12'0 | Fox. |
| 621. Seelau | 49° 32' | 15° 17' | + 21'6 | Kreil. |
| 631. Rome | 41° 54' | 12° 26' | + 5'0 | Humboldt, d'Abadie, Quetelet. |
| 641. Milo | 36° 43' | 24° 27' | + 19'6 | Norwegian Officers. |
| 651. San Diego | 32° 41' | 242° 27' | - 70'6 | Belcher. |
| 661. At sea..... | 47° 7' | 346° 54' | - 0'4 | Sulivan. |
| 671. At sea..... | 44° 22' | 330° 54' | - 18'3 | Erman. |
| 681. At sea..... | 30° 0' | 318° 5' | - 4'3 | Sulivan. |
| Teneriffe | 28° 27' | 343° 43' | - 0'4 | { Humboldt, Freycinet, Du- perrey, Sabine, Bethune, Wickham, Sulivan. |
| 691. At sea..... | 21° 32' | 316° 43' | + 21'3 | Sulivan. |
| 701. At sea..... | 23° 12' | 238° 9' | - 61'2 | Erman. |
| 711. Socorro Island | 18° 43' | 249° 6' | + 28'0 | Belcher. |
| 721. Ulean | 7° 22' | 143° 57' | - 10'8 | Lütke. |
| 731. At sea..... | 8° 55' | 235° 48' | - 27'8 | Erman. |
| 741. La Guayra | 10° 36' | 292° 54' | + 2'6 | Humboldt. |
| 751. Morales | 8° 15' | 286° 0' | - 7'0 | Humboldt. |
| 761. At sea..... | 10° 7' | 319° 51' | + 48'9 | Sulivan. |
| 771. St. Thomas Fernando Po Isla das Rolhas } | 1° 23' | 7° 20' | - 35'8 | { The secular change at this station is uncertain; I take the mean of the in- clinations by Sabine and Allen; the force at St. Thomas, from Sabine. |
| 781. At sea..... | 3° 47' | 162° 59' | + 8'2 | Lütke. |
| 791. At sea..... | - 2° 2' | 236° 4' | + 31'7 | Lütke. |
| 801. Pasto | 1° 13' | 282° 39' | - 13'0 | Humboldt and Bousingault. |
| 811. At sea..... | 5° 45' | 331° 9' | + 8'4 | Erman. |
| 821. At sea..... | 5° 37' | 341° 3' | + 10'8 | Dunlop. |
| 831. Pulo Kumpal..... | - 2° 44' | 110° 7' | - 16'8 | Belcher. |
| 841. Shell Rock..... | - 1° 57' | 136° 21' | + 1'4 | Belcher. |
| 851. Gonzanama | - 4° 13' | 280° 27' | - 4'5 | Humboldt. |
| 861. At sea..... | - 1° 10' | 223° 32' | - 19'9 | Erman. |
| 871. Tomependa | - 5° 31' | 281° 24' | + 10'1 | Humboldt. |
| 881. Huaura | - 11° 3' | 282° 14' | + 12'9 | Humboldt. |
| 891. At sea..... | - 0° 27' | 324° 44' | + 52'2 | Sulivan. |
| 901. At sea..... | - 8° 10' | 339° 50' | - 21'1 | Dunlop. |
| 911. At sea..... | - 11° 54' | 214° 37' | - 9'4 | Erman. |
| 921. At sea..... | - 13° 9' | 251° 20' | + 57'0 | Lütke. |
| 931. Bow Island | - 18° 5' | 219° 7' | + 7'5 | Belcher. |
| 941. At sea..... | - 19° 56' | 325° 5' | - 20'7 | Sulivan. |
| St. Helena | - 15° 55' | 354° 17' | + 14'7 | All Observers. |
| 951. At sea..... | - 26° 25' | 49° 12' | - 17'1 | Moore and Clerk. |
| 961. At sea..... | - 21° 54' | 53° 0' | - 20'0 | Moore and Clerk. |
| Mauritius | - 20° 9' | 57° 31' | - 0'5 | Duperrey, Fitzroy, Moore and Dayman.. [Clerk. |
| 971. At sea..... | - 22° 41' | 69° 54' | - 26'7 | |
| 981. At sea..... | - 22° 38' | 76° 10' | - 17'4 | Dayman. |
| 991. At sea..... | - 22° 34' | 80° 10' | - 22'1 | Dayman. |

| Stations. | Lat. S. | Long. E. | $\Delta Z.$ | Observers. |
|----------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|----------------------------|
| 1001. At sea..... | 24 17 | 94 6 | - 7'5 | Moore and Clerk. |
| 1011. At sea..... | 21 51 | 268 5 | + 19'0 | Lütke. |
| 1021. At sea..... | 29 53 | 313 43 | - 19'3 | Erman. |
| 1031. At sea..... | 38 44 | 0 16 | - 7'7 | Dunlop. |
| 1041. At sea..... | 35 48 | 18 47 | - 25'8 | Erebus and Terror. |
| 1051. At sea..... | 32 17 | 29 34 | + 0'6 | Dayman. |
| 1061. At sea..... | 38 11 | 22 0 | - 29'9 | Erebus. |
| 1071. At sea..... | 39 16 | 30 27 | - 10'7 | Dunlop. |
| 1081. At sea..... | 33 47 | 111 4 | + 31'3 | Dayman. |
| 1091. At sea..... | 35 5 | 117 56 | - 7'1 | Moore and Clerk. |
| 1101. At sea | 42 35 | 125 40 | + 51'3 | Smith. |
| Sydney | | | + 39'0 | All Observers. |
| | | | + 17'7 | (British only). |
| 1111. At sea..... | 33 38 | 163 42 | - 33'2 | Erebus. |
| 1121. Bay of Islands | 35 16 | 174 0 | - 8'4 | Duperrey, FitzRoy, Erebus. |
| 1131. Valdivia | 39 53 | 286 31 | + 41'3 | FitzRoy. |
| 1141. At sea..... | 44 4 | 312 1 | + 9'1 | Sulivan. |
| 1151. At sea..... | 37 37 | 353 36 | - 36'3 | Dunlop. |
| 1161. At sea..... | 41 47 | 26 38 | - 16'7 | Erebus. |
| 1171. At sea..... | 46 28 | 52 31 | - 4'0 | Erebus. |
| 1181. At sea..... | 48 40 | 68 58 | - 55'5 | Erebus. |
| Kerguelen Island | 48 41 | 68 54 | - 11'9 | Erebus. |
| 1191. At sea..... | 47 39 | 103 42 | - 23'4 | Erebus. |
| 1201. At sea..... | 47 34 | 124 43 | - 109'6 | Erebus. |
| Hobart Town..... | 42 53 | 147 24 | + 41'8 | All Observers. |
| 1211. Bass's Strait | 40 28 | 151 35 | + 11'5 | Wickham. |
| 1221. At sea..... | 41 49 | 183 41 | - 18'3 | Erebus. |
| 1231. At sea..... | 49 23 | 188 29 | 0 | Erebus. |
| 1241. At sea..... | 53 57 | 6 5 | - 4'1 | Moore and Clerk. |
| 1251. At sea..... | 54 55 | 132 50 | - 53'8 | Erebus. |
| 1261. At sea..... | 57 54 | 170 25 | + 40'4 | Erebus. |
| 1271. At sea..... | 53 1 | 205 8 | - 18'3 | Erebus. |
| 1281. At sea..... | 58 39 | 213 17 | - 6'7 | Erebus. |
| 1291. At sea..... | 60 21 | 237 54 | - 19'0 | Erebus. |
| 1301. At sea..... | 58 25 | 279 44 | + 10'8 | Erebus. |
| Port Famine | 53 38 | 289 2 | + 4'6 | King and FitzRoy. |
| 1311. At sea..... | 46 0 | 299 50 | - 49'2 | Sulivan. |
| Falkland Islands | 51 33 | 301 55 | + 41'3 | All Observers. |
| 1321. At sea..... | 61 10 | 9 5 | + 15'9 | Moore and Clerk. |
| 1331. At sea..... | 66 33 | 36 48 | + 17'5 | Moore and Clerk. |
| 1341. At sea..... | 66 24 | 40 30 | + 8'1 | Moore and Clerk. |
| 1351. At sea..... | 60 50 | 87 41 | + 10'1 | Moore and Clerk. |
| 1361. At sea..... | 65 9 | 143 7 | - 52'5 | Erebus. |
| 1371. At sea..... | 64 41 | 162 34 | - 10'5 | Erebus. |
| 1381. At sea..... | 61 34 | 170 40 | + 26'7 | Erebus. |
| 1391. At sea..... | 67 14 | 188 6 | + 18'4 | Erebus. |
| 1401. At sea..... | 65 18 | 191 39 | + 28'5 | Erebus. |
| 1410. At sea..... | 67 16 | 202 13 | + 30'4 | Erebus. |
| 1411. At sea..... | 61 15 | 213 54 | + 22'2 | Erebus. |
| 1412. At sea..... | 62 38 | 212 44 | + 3'4 | Erebus. |
| 1421. At sea..... | 70 23 | 174 50 | - 10'2 | Erebus. |
| 1431. At sea..... | 72 58 | 189 50 | + 21'0 | Erebus. |
| 1441. At sea..... | 77 6 | 192 31 | - 8'0 | Erebus. |
| 1444. At sea..... | 77 47 | 197 25 | + 23'2 | Erebus. |

Maximum of probable error. There are great anomalies in this meridian.

I think the agreement pretty good for a calculation which I still expect to correct in some degree; it is also to be remarked that I

have taken the results of all observers, and that their determinations often differ considerably from each other at the same place. Unfortunately I could not make use of the two important determinations of the Euphrates Expedition for want of the Inclination.

As you collect everything that can serve towards a final determination of the elements, I permit myself to subjoin the following data which are still partly unpublished.

| Stations. | Lat. N. | Long. E. | Date. | Inclination. | | Horizontal Force. | Total Force. | Vertical Force. | | |
|----------------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | Ob- served. | Re- duced to 1830. | | | Observed. | Calcu- lated. | Differ- ence. |
| Uellenorm | 58° 19' | 26° 43' | 1847. | 70° 9'8" | 70° 38'0" | 473·7 | 1396·0 | 1317·0 | 1317·6 | - 0·6 |
| Dorpat* | 58° 23' | 26° 44' | 1847 to 1850. | 70° 50'7" | 71° 19'9" | 465·4 | 1421·9 | 1347·1 | 1318·0 | +29·1 |
| Kardis | 58° 51' | 26° 17' | 1847. | 70° 17'1" | | 471·6 | | | | |
| | | | 1849. | 70° 17'5" | | 467·1 | | | | |
| | | | | 70° 17'3" | 70° 48'3" | 469·3 | 1388·4 | 1311·2 | 1323·2 | - 12·0 |
| Revel | 59° 35' | 24° 43' | 1847. | 70° 54'4" | | | | | | |
| | | | 1849. | 45'8" | | | | | | |
| | | | | 70° 50'1" | 71° 21'1" | 454·4 | 1384·2 | 1311'5 | 1330'7 | - 19'2 |
| Nawast | 58° 35' | 25° 34' | 1848. | 70° 41'0" | 71° 12'0" | 454·7 | 1374'5 | 1301'2 | 1318'6 | - 17'4 |
| Werder† | 58° 35' | 23° 40' | 1848. | 69° 31'6" | 70° 2'6" | 484·6 | 1385'4 | 1302'2 | 1315'9 | - 13'7 |
| Arensburg | 58° 15' | 22° 25' | 1848. | 70° 51'1" | 71° 22'1" | 455'5 | 1388'8 | 1316'0 | 1309'1 | + 6'9 |
| Kabbil | 58° 20' | 22° 40' | 1848. | 71° 9'3" | 71° 40'3" | 437'6 | 1354'8 | 1286'1 | 1310'4 | -24'3 |
| Pernaw | 58° 22' | 24° 32' | 1848. | 70° 36'3" | 71° 7'3" | 458'4 | 1380'1 | 1305'9 | 1313'9 | - 8'0 |
| Tammiss | 58° 21' | 24° 33' | 1848. | 70° 24'5" | 70° 55'5" | 459'0 | 1368'8 | 1293'6 | 1313'7 | -20'1 |
| Kurkundt | 58° 8' | 24° 59' | 1848. | 69° 47'9" | 70° 18'9" | 476'2 | 1378'7 | 1298'0 | 1311'8 | - 13'8 |
| Helsingfors | 60° 10' | 24° 57' | 1847. | 71° 21'7" | | 444'3 | | | | |
| | | | 1849. | 19'7" | | 7'6" | | | | |
| | | | | 71° 20'7" | 71° 51'7" | 446'0 | 1394'3 | 1325'0 | 1339'0 | - 14'0 |
| Bollstad | 60° 9' | 24° 13' | 1847. | 71° 30'2" | 71° 59'4" | 441'4 | 1391'4 | 1323'2 | 1338'0 | - 14'8 |
| Kyrkstad | 60° 10' | 24° 5' | 1847. | 71° 21'9" | 71° 51'1" | 442'6 | 1385'1 | 1316'2 | 1338'0 | -21'8 |
| Lambola | 60° 15' | 23° 10' | 1847. | 71° 28'9" | 71° 58'1" | 442'7 | 1393'8 | 1325'4 | 1335'8 | - 10'4 |
| Nukari | 60° 22' | 24° 55' | 1847. | 71° 40'3" | 72° 9'5" | 440'8 | 1401'8 | 1334'3 | 1341'2 | - 6'9 |
| Abborfors | 60° 30' | 26° 30' | 1847. | 71° 19'8" | 71° 49'0" | 450'0 | 1406'2 | 1335'9 | 1346'0 | - 10'1 |
| Grönwick..... | 60° 33' | 27° 30' | 1847. | 71° 32'3" | 72° 1'5" | 441'1 | 1393'1 | 1325'0 | 1348'5 | -23'5 |
| Wiborg | 60° 44' | 28° 50' | 1847. | 70° 51'6" | 71° 20'8" | 446'2 | 1360'9 | 1289'4 | 1353'0 | -63'6 |
| Turkhauta | 60° 50' | 24° 47' | 1847. | 72° 14'6" | 72° 43'8" | 425'5 | 1395'1 | 1332'2 | 1346'5 | - 14'3 |
| Tavastehus | 61° 0' | 24° 28' | 1847. | 72° 8'4" | 72° 37'6" | 427'6 | 1394'7 | 1331'1 | 1348'0 | - 16'9 |
| Wilmanstrand | 61° 4' | 28° 16' | 1847. | 71° 51'8" | 72° 21'0" | 439'0 | 1410'2 | 1344'0 | 1356'1 | - 12'1 |
| Imatra Fall | 61° 11' | 28° 55' | 1847. | 71° 51'0" | 72° 20'2" | 433'6 | 1411'3 | 1344'8 | 1357'6 | - 12'8 |
| Huutjarwi | 61° 28' | 24° 2' | 1847. | 72° 2'3" | 72° 31'5" | 433'5 | 1405'8 | 1340'9 | 1352'9 | - 12'0 |
| Pumala | 61° 32' | 28° 15' | 1847. | 72° 7'9" | 72° 37'1" | 431'7 | 1406'9 | 1342'6 | 1361'7 | - 19'1 |
| Wehuwarpe | 61° 46' | 22° 49' | 1847. | 72° 6'4" | 72° 35'6" | 433'0 | 1409'3 | 1344'9 | 1353'8 | - 8'9 |
| Nyslott..... | 61° 52' | 29° 0' | 1847. | 71° 59'9" | 72° 29'1" | 437'2 | 1414'6 | 1349'1 | 1367'3 | - 18'2 |
| Tjök† | 62° 18' | 21° 23' | 1847. | 72° 43'0" | 73° 12'2" | 419'4 | 1411'7 | 1351'3 | 1357'9 | - 6'6 |
| Warkauss-Sluss | 62° 20' | 27° 58' | 1847. | 72° 32'4" | 73° 1'6" | 420'1 | 1400'1 | 1339'1 | 1371'0 | - 31'9 |
| Johannisdal | 62° 21' | 21° 21' | 1847. | 73° 27'3" | 73° 56'5" | 399'4 | 1402'6 | 1347'9 | 1358'6 | - 10'7 |
| Kuopio | 62° 55' | 27° 33' | 1847. | 72° 54'3" | 73° 23'5" | 415'5 | 1413'6 | 1354'6 | 1377'2 | -22'6 |
| Wasa § | 63° 5' | 21° 35' | 1847. | 73° 0'8" | 73° 30'0" | 411'7 | 1442'0 | 1351'0 | 1367'6 | - 16'6 |

* In the garden near my house, and at different parts of the town and its environs; including differences of inclination of more than 1° 15'.

† H. F. very anomalous.

‡ Hansteen, 1825, $\Delta Z = - 12'4$.

§ Hansteen, 1825, $\Delta Z = - 13'3$.

| Stations. | Lat. N. | Long. E. | Date. | Inclination. | | Horizontal Force. | Total Force. | Vertical Force. | | |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | Ob- served. | Re- duced to 1830. | | | Observed. | Calcu- lated. | Differ- ence. |
| Sawojarwi | 63° 22' | 27° 13' | 1847. | 72° 53' 1" | 73° 22' 3" | 434.6 | 1511.1 | 1415.0 | 1383.0 | +32.0 |
| Sundby | 63° 36' | 22° 40' | 1847. | 73° 18' 9" | 73° 48' 1" | 401.8 | 1399.4 | 1343.9 | 1375.0 | -31.1 |
| Aho | 64° 2' | 26° 27' | 1847. | 73° 24' 9" | 73° 54' 1" | 407.4 | 1427.4 | 1371.5 | 1388.0 | -16.5 |
| Wirda | 63° 37' | 27° 3' | 1847. | 73° 9' 4" | 73° 38' 6" | 411.5 | 1420.1 | 1362.6 | 1384.6 | -22.0 |
| Salahmi | 63° 47' | 27° 0' | 1847. | 73° 14' 2" | 73° 43' 4" | 408.8 | 1417.2 | 1360.6 | 1386.6 | -26.0 |
| Kyrola | 64° 5' | 23° 30' | 1847. | 73° 24' 8" | 73° 54' 0" | 409.0 | 1432.7 | 1376.5 | 1382.6 | -6.1 |
| Tuomala | 64° 25' | 26° 0' | 1847. | 73° 30' 7" | 73° 59' 9" | 410.7 | 1446.7 | 1390.7 | 1389.8 | +0.9 |
| Lassila | 64° 45' | 24° 38' | 1847. | 73° 50' 5" | 74° 19' 7" | 408.2 | 1466.9 | 1412.4 | 1393.0 | +19.4 |
| Uleaborg* | 65° 3' | 25° 27' | 1847. | 74° 6' 0" | 74° 35' 2" | 393.2 | 1435.2 | 1383.6 | 1398.6 | -15.0 |
| Wuornos | 65° 36' | 25° 26' | 1847. | 74° 4' 8" | 74° 30' 0" | 393.5 | 1434.7 | 1382.4 | 1405.3 | -22.9 |
| Rautiola | 65° 47' | 24° 40' | 1847. | 74° 49' 9" | 75° 19' 1" | 377.5 | 1437.9 | 1390.9 | 1405.2 | -14.3 |
| Tornea | 65° 52' | 23° 30' | 1847. 1849. | 74° 52' 3" 48.4 | | 380.2 2.6 | | | | |
| Haaparanda† ... | 65° 52' | 23° 30' | 1849. | 74° 50' 3" | 75° 19' 5" | 381.4 | 1458.4 | 1410.9 | 1404.1 | +6.8 |
| Alkula ‡ | 66° 20' | 23° 49' | 1847. 1849. | 74° 28' 1" 21.1 15.2 | 74° 57' 3" 21.1 3.8 | 382.1 392.2 3.8 | 1427.0 | 1378.1 | 1404.1 | -26.0 |
| Toluanen ‡ | 66° 36' | 23° 52' | 1847. | 74° 18' 2" | 74° 47' 4" | 393.0 | 1452.8 | 1401.9 | 1410.0 | -8.1 |
| Turtola ‡ | 66° 42' | 23° 40' | 1847. | 74° 31' 9" | 75° 1' 1" | 386.9 | 1450.3 | 1401.1 | 1413.3 | -12.2 |
| Kardis Lappl. ‡ .. | 67° 0' | 23° 39' | 1847. | 74° 47' 7" | 75° 16' 9" | 381.3 | 1453.9 | 1406.1 | 1414.5 | -8.4 |
| Kexiswara ‡ | 67° 15' | 23° 27' | 1847. | 75° 4' 4" | 75° 33' 6" | 374.3 | 1452.9 | 1407.1 | 1418.0 | -10.9 |
| Muonioniska ‡ ... | 68° 0' | 23° 42' | 1847. 1849. | 75° 45' 2" 32.0 31.0 | 76° 14' 4" 32.0 31.0 | 366.1 364.7 5.6 | 1487.8 | 1445.1 | 1420.6 | +24.5 |
| Kätkesuando ‡ ... | 68° 7' | 23° 22' | 1849. | 75° 31' 5" | 75° 59' 7" | 365.2 | 1459.1 | 1415.7 | 1430.2 | -14.5 |
| Palajoensu | 68° 18' | 22° 45' | 1849. | 75° 32' 1" | 76° 1' 3" | 359.8 | 1440.6 | 1397.9 | 1430.7 | -32.8 |
| Kaaressuando | 68° 24' | 22° 8' | 1849. | 76° 5' 7" | 76° 34' 9" | 350.0 | 1456.7 | 1416.9 | 1432.5 | -16.6 |
| Kielli-jarwi | 69° 5' | 20° 40' | 1849. | 75° 37' 1" | 76° 6' 3" | 359.3 | 1446.6 | 1404.3 | 1433.7 | -29.4 |
| Tromsøe § | 69° 39' | 18° 56' | 1849. | 75° 52' 4" | 76° 21' 6" | 355.1 | 1455.0 | 1414.0 | 1439.0 | -25.0 |
| Hammerfest ... | 69° 39' | 18° 56' | 1849. | 76° 11' 4" | 76° 40' 6" | 348.1 | 1458.4 | 1419.2 | 1444.4 | -25.2 |
| Havøesund ¶ | 70° 40' | 23° 45' | 1849. | 76° 43' 8" | 77° 13' 0" | 344.3 | 1500.2 | 1463.0 | 1464.0 | -1.0 |
| Kielwig Mageroe .. | 71° 0' | 24° 45' | 1849. | 76° 46' 1" | 77° 15' 3" | 336.7 | 1471.0 | 1434.8 | 1466.1 | -31.3 |
| Kitai-Insel** | 70° 57' | 26° 15' | 1849. | 76° 54' 6" | 77° 23' 8" | 333.7 | 1473.5 | 1438.0 | 1467.5 | -29.5 |
| Archangel†† | 68° 28' | 38° 30' | 1849. | 75° 50' 6" | 76° 9' 6" | 358.2 | 1464.6 | 1422.0 | 1476.7 | -54.7 |
| Bobrowsk | 64° 30' | 40° 3' | 1849. | 73° 58' 8" | 74° 8' 3" | 405.4 | 1468.9 | 1413.0 | 1439.1 | -26.1 |
| Kaduisk | 64° 34' | 41° 0' | 1849. | 74° 1' 5" | 74° 11' 0" | 404.5 | 1469.6 | 1414.0 | 1440.8 | -26.8 |
| Plesskaja | 62° 55' | 41° 30' | 1849. | 73° 19' 6" | 73° 29' 1" | 420.2 | 1464.5 | 1404.1 | 1422.8 | -18.7 |
| Krassnowskaja | 62° 35' | 40° 55' | 1849. | 72° 46' 7" | 72° 57' 2" | 429.8 | 1451.7 | 1387.9 | 1408.3 | -20.4 |
| Ustwelskoi | 62° 10' | 40° 10' | 1849. | 72° 33' 5" | 72° 43' 0" | 432.8 | 1443.8 | 1378.7 | 1407.3 | -28.6 |
| Kargopol | 61° 55' | 39° 12' | 1849. | 72° 15' 3" | 72° 25' 3" | 442.1 | 1450.6 | 1382.8 | 1400.4 | -17.6 |
| Badoshkaja | 61° 43' | 38° 57' | 1849. | 72° 8' 2" | 72° 19' 2" | 444.4 | 1448.6 | 1380.2 | 1395.7 | -15.5 |
| Wytegra | 60° 48' | 37° 30' | 1849. | 71° 25' 3" | 71° 28' 6" | 459.0 | 1440.8 | 1366.1 | 1381.1 | -15.0 |
| Gomorowitschi | 61° 13' | 36° 28' | 1849. | 71° 34' 2" | 71° 48' 2" | 457.1 | 1445.9 | 1373.6 | 1380.5 | -6.9 |
| Petersburg †† | 60° 55' | 34° 35' | 1849. | 71° 34' 4" | 71° 53' 0" | 450.6 | 1425.5 | 1354.8 | 1371.0 | -16.2 |
| | 59° 56' | 30° 18' | 1849. | 70° 33' 2" | 70° 59' 0" | 473.1 | 1420.8 | 1343.2 | 1347.0 | -3.8 |

* Hansteen 1825, $\Delta Z = -12.0$.† Hansteen 1825, $\Delta Z = -12.1$.‡ Hansteen 1825, $\Delta Z = 0.1$; many iron mines in the vicinity; quantities of magnetic ironsand on the banks of Tornea river.§ Keilhau, $\Delta V = 31.2$.|| Sabine, $+2.8$; Keilhau, -30.9 . ¶ Keilhau, -27.4 . ** Keilhau, -3.2 .†† Reinicke and Mailander, -62.5 .‡‡ Inclination observed by me; force by Kupffer; earlier observations gave $\Delta Z = -9.3$.

In the above table, the horizontal force was obtained by vibrations, and reduced to 0° Reaumur. Before and after my journey in 1847, the force was determined at Dorpat by Gauss's method, and the needle employed compared therewith and reduced to the intensity in London=1372. Subsequently I preferred for trying the needles, Poisson's method, at least for traveling purposes; but some alterations require to be introduced in Poisson's formula, as he has overlooked some things. With the same needle which I employed in both my journeys, I have made more than 60 determinations of absolute force at Dorpat, partly in a room and partly in the open air, and in temperatures varying from -13° R. to $+25^{\circ}$ R., and have found a very good accordance. I also made several such determinations in the journeys of 1848 and 1849.

As I do not possess an observatory, and cannot employ a Bifilar in my dwelling-house, it has not been possible for me to compare the variations of the force with my determinations; I have however made use of the following method:— If X be the magnetism of the earth and m that of the needle, I seek not X but m ; this latter quantity depends on the temperature t and the time T , as the needle is not constant; but if I combine all the values of m by an equation of the form

$$m = A + B e^{-aT} + e \cdot t$$

and calculate the constants, the error is about $\frac{1}{600} m$. Besides this, several simultaneous observations with Gauss's apparatus have shown that the value of m was itself correct.

The Inclinations have in part been determined by two needles which agreed very well with each other; they are so balanced that I can always take the mean of the eight arcs. On the other hand they are subject to the error of the axle, which I cannot exactly correct, but which does not however exceed $5'$. It was only last summer, when I examined the subject more closely, that I became aware you had likewise the idea of loading the needle, and observing in different azimuths. In our latitudes the best loading is such as will cause the north pole to be in one set about 10° above, and in a second set 10° below the horizontal line. Three series which I made with one needle were calculated by my friend Claussen, who in doing so was led to a method of entirely eliminating the form of the axle. Take a well-balanced needle, the axles of which are not cylindrical; different degrees of magnetic force can be given to it without reversing the poles. Taking the strongest force as unity, it is not practically advantageous to go to lower ratios than $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{1}{8}$. Though vibration experiments with dipping-needles are not generally advantageous, yet they suffice in this case, as an approximately correct proportion of the intensities is all that is wanted. It is sufficient to make, with each degree of intensity, the two observations with the face east and face west, without reversing the needle on its supports; if the latter is done, it gives a second determination, affording a check upon the first. You will then find that the mean of the two observations in one position of the axles is less than the

true inclination, and in the other position greater; the difference in both cases being more considerable as the intensity of the needle is weaker. Let I_0, I_1, I_2 , &c. be the inclination observed with different intensities; T_0, T_1, T_2 , &c. be the times of vibration, which increase as the index increases; a small correction is required, which can be determined in the following manner.—Take either I_0 or a somewhat less value (in round minutes) as being nearly correct, and let

$$I_0 - I_1 = \Delta I_1; \quad I_0 - I_2 = \Delta I_2, \text{ \&c.},$$

then

$$\Delta I = x + T^2 y;$$

x being the correction; thus I found

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Az. } 0^\circ; I = 70^\circ 23.8. \quad \text{Az. } 180^\circ; I = 71^\circ 26.5. \quad \text{Mean } 70^\circ 55.1. \quad T = 1.167. \\ \text{Az. } 0^\circ; I = 70^\circ 48.7. \quad \text{Az. } 180^\circ; I = 71^\circ 44.7. \quad \text{Mean } 71^\circ 15.2. \quad T = 1.738. \\ \text{Az. } 0^\circ; I = 66^\circ 16.0. \quad \text{Az. } 180^\circ; I = 84^\circ 16.5. \quad \text{Mean } 75^\circ 36.3. \quad T = 4.25. \end{aligned}$$

If I take $70^\circ 55'.0$ as nearly correct, I obtain the three following equations;

$$0.1 = x + (1.167)^2 y; \quad 20.2 = x + (1.738)^2 y; \quad 281.3 = x + (4.25)^2 y.$$

The three equations have not however the same weight, as the directive force is less in proportion as T is larger; in order to give them all the same weight I divide each by the coefficient of y , and thus obtain in logarithms

$$\begin{aligned} 8.86586 &= 9.86586 x + y; & 0.82525 &= 9.51990 x + y; \\ 1.19239 &= 8.74322 x + y. \end{aligned}$$

and hence $x = 21'.8$; and the true dip $= 70^\circ 33'.2$.

I have here taken an imperfect needle, which I also observed in Azimuths of 30° to 30° ; in one position of the axles I obtained $70^\circ 39'.5$; $\pm 5'.9$; and in a second $70^\circ 42'.5$; $\pm 5'.4$; mean $70^\circ 41'.0$. On a subsequent day I observed with a second needle and obtained $70^\circ 43'.4$; but an independent needle gave a dip $2'.6$ greater, so that the two determinations are $70^\circ 42'.1$, $70^\circ 42'.3$, if we add to each the half difference.

In this method, in which no reversal is needed, the differences of the partial determinations will appear somewhat large, but you must not forget that instead of the ordinary eight observations only two have been taken.

I permit myself one additional remark. In observations on different azimuths, it is usual to take simply $\cot I = \cot I_1 \cos \alpha$; in latitudes where the dips are so high as here and in England, this equation may be employed without much error, as the force in azimuths perpendicular to the meridian is little less than in the meridian; but it is quite otherwise in small dips. With the decrease of force the possibility of error increases, and hence when the observations made in different azimuths are combined as by Kupffer, they have not the same weight. In more exact determinations I employ the following method.

Let K be the total, H the horizontal, V the vertical force, and α the nearly known azimuth; then

$$K \cos I = H \cos \alpha; K \sin I = V; \tan I = \frac{V}{H} \cdot \frac{1}{\cos \alpha};$$

whence
$$dI = \frac{\cos^2 I}{\cos \alpha} d\left(\frac{V}{H}\right) + \frac{HV}{K^2} \sin \alpha \cdot d\alpha.$$

If on the right we substitute for $\cos^2 I$ its value, then

$$dI = \frac{H^2 \cos \alpha}{K^2} d\left(\frac{V}{H}\right) + \frac{HV}{K^2} \sin \alpha d\alpha.$$

As the possibility of error is inversely as the force, I multiply the equation by K , to give to the different determinations equal weight, thus

$$K dI = \frac{H^2 \cos \alpha}{K} d\left(\frac{V}{H}\right) + \frac{HV}{K} \sin \alpha d\alpha :$$

having determined the dips in the customary manner with the approximately known values of α , I obtain the values dI , which serve to find $d\left(\frac{V}{H}\right)$; *i. e.* the correction of I .

I possess now with my instrument six needles, which I hope to compare very accurately with each other in the course of this year; but some months must first elapse, as I make all these determinations in the open air, and the bad autumn we have had has interrupted me in the work. I have had two of my needles fitted according to Fox's method, with wheels on their axles; two others have brass indexes, as was formerly proposed by Bernoulli and Euler (Berlin Trans. 1755), and I can now determine the absolute intensity with the inclinorium. I know Fox's method only from a short notice in the London and Edinburgh Phil. Mag.; if I do not mistake, he proposed also to determine the declination by the same apparatus. With ordinary needles there remains an uncertainty. If we load the S. end of the needle so that the N. end is about 10° above the horizon, the S. end sinks down; and if we seek the azimuth in which the needle is perpendicular and then observe at about half a degree of azimuth on either side, the inclination alters so rapidly with the azimuth, that I have thus been even able to follow the diurnal variations of the declination; and the magnetic meridian may thus be determined for the observations of absolute declination whilst travelling.

I will not trouble you further as my letter is already so long, and will only add one request. The Phil. Trans. arrive here rather late, and the last communications which I have seen of yours contain Keely's determinations. All the observations of the Erebus and Terror have not yet appeared; in the Atlantic I know only the total intensities but without inclinations or declinations, and yet I am very anxious for some determinations that have been made between 10° and 20° of longitude in the higher latitudes to compare my calculations with them. If your time permits, I should be very much obliged

to you if you could communicate to me the inclination and force at some points. In the mean time I will occupy myself with the discussion of the two horizontal forces; unfortunately the number of determinations serving for this purpose is much smaller. For North America those recorded by Lamont in Dove's 'Repertorium' are for the most part in comparatively low latitudes.

May 1, 1851.

The EARL OF ROSSE, President, in the Chair.

A paper was read, entitled "An account of two cases in which an Ovule, or its remains, was discovered after death in the Fallopian tube of the unimpregnated human female, during the period of Menstruation." By H. Letheby, M.B. Communicated by W. B. Curling, Esq., F.R.S. Received Feb. 20, 1851.

At the commencement of the paper the author refers to the opinions of Drs. Power, Lee, Paterson, Barry, Girdwood, and Wharton Jones of this country, and also to those of MM. Valentin, Negrier, Pouchet, Gendrin, Raciborski, and Bischoff on the continent, respecting the supposed nature of the physiological phenomena manifested during the period of menstruation; and he mentions the law of Bischoff, namely, that "the ova formed in the ovaries of the females of all mammiferous animals, including the human female, undergo a periodical maturation and exclusion quite independently of the influence of the male seminal fluid. At these periods, known as those of 'heat' or 'the rut' in quadrupeds, and 'menstruation' in the human female, the ova which have become mature, disengage themselves from the ovary and are extruded. If the union of the sexes takes place at this period, the ovum is fecundated by the direct action of the semen upon it, but if no union of the sexes occurs, the ovum is nevertheless evolved from the ovary, and enters the Fallopian tube where it perishes." He states, however, that the arguments which have been advanced in support of this opinion in respect of the human female, are entirely of an analogical character; and that although the ovaries of women who have died during the menstrual period have been frequently examined, and Graafian follicles found in a recently ruptured state, yet the discovery of the liberated ovule had not, so far as the author was aware, ever been detected. The importance of his cases rests upon three grounds, namely,—1st, the circumstances under which the women had died; 2ndly, the finding of recently ruptured Graafian follicles; and 3rdly, the discovery of the ovule and its remains in the fluid matter of the Fallopian tubes.

In the first of the cases recorded, the woman died during a menstrual period. She had been an inmate of the London Hospital for twenty-four days before her death, where she was closely watched day and night by a nurse, in consequence of her having attempted